RECEIVED

BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

Mar 21 4 42 PM '00

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORY OF MAJOR MAILERS ASSOCIATION REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS DANIEL (MMA/USPS-T28—13(B))

The United States Postal Service hereby provides its response to the following interrogatory of Major Mailers Association redirected from witness Daniel: MMA/USPS-T28—13(b), filed on March 3, 2000.

The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Anthony Alvemo

Attorney

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-2997; Fax –6187 March 21, 2000

RESPONSE OF U.S. POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORIES OF MAJOR MAILERS ASSOCIATION REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS DANIEL

MMA/USPS-T28-13.

- (a) Please indicate whether your study utilized the Commission-approved cost methodology, which assumes that labor costs vary 100% with volume, or the Postal Service's proposed cost methodology, which assumes that labor costs do not vary 100% with volume.
- (b) If your study did not utilize the Commission-approved cost methodology, please provide the study results utilizing the Commission-approved cost methodology.

RESPONSE:

- (a). Answered by witness Daniel.
- (b). It is assumed this question is referring to subclasses that have been referred to elsewhere in this set of interrogatories, namely, First-Class Mail Single-Piece, First-Class Mail Presort, and Standard Mail (A) Regular. As best as possible, the analyses in USPS LR-I-91 and Section 1 of USPS LR-I-92 have been conducted using the Commission-approved cost methodology. The results are presented in USPS LR-I-235. The assumptions made in reproducing the PRC version are noted below:
 - "No Weight" costs are allocated in proportion to the costs by weight increment
 where weight is known because it is not known how such tallies would be
 allocated in the PRC version.
 - A PRC version of function specific TY piggyback factors has not been developed so USPS version piggybacks were used.
 - Street SCS Access costs were combined with 7.2 Access Costs and distributed in the same manner as the USPS version.
 - Street Single-Subclass Load costs were combined with 7.3 Elemental Load costs and distributed using the USPS distribution keys.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Anthony Alverno

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-2997; Fax –6187 March 21, 2000